



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/568,580

02/17/2006

Satoshi Nakamae

127096

6486

25944 7590 03/04/2009

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC  
P.O. BOX 320850  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320-4850

EXAMINER

CHEUNG, CHUN HOI

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3728

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

03/04/2009

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/568,580	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NAKAMAE, SATOSHI	
	<b>Examiner</b> CHUN CHEUNG	<b>Art Unit</b> 3728	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHUN CHEUNG. (3) ANDY WHITEHEAD.

(2) MICKEY YU. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 26 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 8.

Identification of prior art discussed: JP10010705 (Tokushima Shinobu) and US4,776,462 (Kosugi et al).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant's proposed amendment in claim 1 appear to overcome the previous 102 rejection of Tokushima. Previous cited prior arts Kosugi `462 in combination with Tokushima are not obvious to combine with 103 rejections. Further search are required prior allowance, and applicant is to submit the official amendment/Argument for further examination.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/CHUN CHEUNG/ Examiner, Art Unit 3728	/Mickey Yu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3728
--	---